

# ASO Review Consultation [Summary]

Working Group Co-Chairs

Izumi Okutani and Aftab Siddiqui

# ASO

## ASO-AC

AFRINIC (elected)
AFRINIC (elected)
AFRINIC (appointed)
APNIC (elected)
APNIC (elected)
APNIC (appointed)
ARIN (elected)
ARIN (elected)
ARIN (appointed)
LACNIC (elected)
LACNIC (elected)
LACNIC (appointed)
RIPE NCC (elected)
RIPE NCC (elected)
RIPE NCC (appointed)

15 Members

## NRO-EC

AFRINIC
APNIC
ARIN
LACNIC
RIPE NCC

5 Members

# Proposed options (report p 47)

	<b><u>Option 1:</u> <i>Status Quo &amp; “Just Say No”</i></b>	<b><u>Option 2</u> <i>Status Quo + increased coordination</i></b>	<b><u>Option 3</u> <i>Adoption of two-house “ASO Council”</i></b>
<b>ICANN Bylaws</b>	No change	No change	Bylaws to state that the ASO shall have an <i>ASO Council</i> with two “Houses”; a Policy House current (ASO AC) and a Registries House (NRO EC).
<b>ASO MoU</b>	No change	No change	Update to include more details on the scope for action of the NRO EC (Registries House)
<b>ASO AC Rules of Procedure</b>	No change	Formalise joint annual meeting as needed	Rules of Procedure to be renamed “ASO Rules of Procedure”.
<b>ASO website</b>	No change	More information on role/scope for action of the NRO EC	The ASO website to include record of all activities of the NRO EC in connection with the ASO.

# EC Input to consultation

- The presence of the numbering community at ICANN is important, but currently divided between ASO AC and NRO EC
- Current arrangements are ambiguous and a source of confusion and misunderstandings
- In response to the second independent ASO Review, the APNIC-EC proposes to consider non status-quo options

# Proposed options (report p 47)

	<b><u>Option 1:</u> <i>Status Quo &amp; “Just Say No”</i></b>	<b><u>Option 2</u> <i>Status Quo + increased coordination</i></b>	<b><u>Option 3</u> <i>Adoption of two-house “ASO Council”</i></b>
<b>ICANN Bylaws</b>	No change	No change	Bylaws to state that the ASO shall have an <i>ASO Council</i> with two “Houses”; a Policy House current (ASO AC) and a Registries House (NRO EC).
<b>ASO MoU</b>	No change	No change	Update to include more details on the scope for action of the NRO EC (Registries House)
<b>ASO AC Rules of Procedure</b>	No change	Formalise joint annual meeting as needed	Rules of Procedure to be renamed “ASO Rules of Procedure”.
<b>ASO website</b>	No change	More information on role/scope for action of the NRO EC	The ASO website to include record of all activities of the NRO EC in connection with the ASO.

# ASO Review Consultation

- Informal session held on 26<sup>th</sup> Feb with WG Volunteers
- Discussed possible solutions and reviewed option 3 suggested in the ASO review and decided to go ahead with 2 house structure for the consultation session

# Summary

- Based on Option 3 of the ASO Review report and informal discussions of the ASO Review Working Group, a strawman proposal suggesting a two council ASO structure was put forward to the community for consultation.
- There was strong support to a two house ASO structure (a Policy Council and a Registry Council). However, there was no consensus on the number of members or the selection mechanism.
- There was agreement to finalize the proposal on the mailing list with a deadline of two weeks. This will be discussed at the ASO AC meeting and other RIRs at the next ICANN meeting and forwarded to other RIRs for their discussion.
- There was some opposition to the proposal. The most vocal one during the session was one that suggested to work the representation of APNIC community members first (number and selection mechanism) and then the structure.
- All members of the community are encouraged to participate in the wg-aso-review mailing list, as a part of the discussions process before making consensus decision (two weeks comment period).